

This is Chapter 12 “Conclusion” from my PhD thesis

Kluckner, A. 2023. *Tunnelling at greater depths: Study on the ground and system behaviour when passing a stiff rock block in a weak zone*. PhD thesis. Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria.

The full thesis can be downloaded from the TU Graz repository: [LINK](#)

If you have any questions or remarks, you can contact me on

ResearchGate: [LINK](#)

or on

LinkedIn: [LINK](#).

Enjoy reading.

Best regards,
Alexander Kluckner



Dipl.-Ing. Alexander Kluckner, BSc

**Tunnelling at greater depths:
Study on the ground and system behaviour
when passing a stiff rock block in a weak zone**

DOCTORAL THESIS

to achieve the university degree of
Doktor der technischen Wissenschaften

submitted to

Graz University of Technology

Reviewers

Em.Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.mont. Wulf Schubert

Faculty of Civil Engineering Sciences
Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Nobuharu Isago

Faculty of Urban Environmental Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan

Graz, January 2023

Abstract

Title: **Tunnelling at greater depths: Study on the ground and system behaviour when passing a stiff rock block in a weak zone**

Keywords: deep tunnelling, conventional, brittle fault zone, block-in-matrix, stiff block, shear bands

A stiff block in brittle, weak fault zones can lead to unfavourable ground behaviour when being approached by a tunnel drive. It attracts stresses and may fail when it is close to the tunnel face endangering the tunnel stability. The thesis investigates the ground behaviour with a quasi-two-dimensional parametric study. The tunnel diameter is 10 m, the block height is 2 m, 5 m, or 10 m, and the distance between the block and the tunnel is 1 m, 5 m, or 10 m. One critical case is analysed in three dimensions. Another study simulates a real tunnel drive that crosses a block with a height of over 25 m. Strain data from a lining segment measured at the construction site with a distributed fibre optic sensing system is used to set up the Burgers-Mohr model simulating the shotcrete material behaviour. All simulations consider an interface between the block and the matrix material. From the block, shear bands form towards the tunnel. In the parametric study, even if the block-matrix stiffness contrast is high or the block is close to the tunnel, differences in the tunnel displacements between cases with block and related cases without block are little. Of the cases analysed, those with a hydrostatic primary stress state are least favourable. If the primary stresses are anisotropic, the effect of the block on the ground behaviour strongly depends on the block distance. The case study suggests that the block must be not too high to be hazardous if it fails. Otherwise, stresses redistributed because of the tunnel drive cannot concentrate at the block's top and bottom. In case the stresses are high enough at the moment of block failure, large-scale shear failure of the rock mass close to the tunnel may occur. If the location of blocks is unknown, state-of-the-art approaches to evaluate tunnel displacements must be applied to increase the probability of identifying blocks in time during tunnelling. Making the system stiffer or less stiff (e.g., by adapting the moment of ring closure) may not lead to a less hazardous situation. It is advised to minimise the unreinforced rock mass volume close to the tunnel face to prevent shear bands from reaching the tunnel.

Contents

List of Figures	xxi
List of Tables	xxix
List of Acronyms, Symbols, and Notations	xxxv
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Research motivation	1
1.2 Research questions	4
1.3 Methodology	5
1.4 Thesis structure and objectives	6
1.5 Research limitations	7
2 About fault zones and block-in-matrix rocks	9
2.1 Brittle fault zones	12
2.2 Block-in-matrix rocks	14
3 Some properties of rocks and rock masses	19
3.1 Geometric properties of bimrock blocks	19
3.1.1 Block shape	19
3.1.2 Block location and orientation	21
3.1.3 Block size	21
3.2 Mechanical properties of rocks and rock masses	22
3.2.1 Shear strength of the matrix material	22
3.2.2 Uniaxial compressive strength of the matrix material	28
3.2.3 Shear strength of the block material	28
3.2.4 Uniaxial compressive strength of the block material	28
3.2.5 Tensile strength	30
3.2.6 Dilation angle	32
3.2.7 Poisson's ratio	33
3.2.8 Density	36
3.2.9 Young's modulus	36
3.2.10 Block-matrix contacts	40
4 Some characteristics of shotcrete	45
4.1 Hardening of concrete	47
4.2 Origin of strength and stiffness growth	47
4.3 A note on the behaviour under pressure	48
4.4 About strain in shotcreted tunnel linings	49
4.5 Restraints	50

4.6	Strain components	51
4.6.1	Elastic (instantaneous) strain	52
4.6.2	Thermal elastic (instantaneous) strain	52
4.6.3	Shrinkage (delayed) strain	54
4.6.4	Creep (delayed) strain	57
4.6.5	Plastic (instantaneous) strain	60
4.6.6	Irrecoverable strain due to ageing	62
4.7	Peak strain	62
4.8	Shotcrete strength	63
4.9	Shotcrete deformability	66
4.9.1	Poisson's ratio	67
4.9.2	Empirical approximation	67
5	Thermo-chemo-mechanical shotcrete model	69
5.1	Displacement and strain field	70
5.2	Shotcrete model	71
5.2.1	Chemo-thermal coupling	73
5.2.2	Thermo-mechanical coupling	74
5.2.3	Chemo-mechanical coupling	74
6	Stiff block next to excavation (2D): Parametric study	81
6.1	Numerical model setup	82
6.1.1	Modelling of system features	82
6.1.2	Modelling of material behaviour	84
6.1.3	Mesh	86
6.1.4	Model size	87
6.1.5	Boundary conditions and initial state	87
6.1.6	Solve criterion and damping	87
6.1.7	Excavation method	88
6.2	Numerical input parameters	89
6.2.1	Tunnel shape and size	90
6.2.2	Primary stress state	90
6.2.3	Block shape	90
6.2.4	Block location and orientation	90
6.2.5	Distance of the block from the tunnel and block size	94
6.2.6	Internal angle of friction of the matrix material	94
6.2.7	Internal angle of friction of the block material	94
6.2.8	Cohesion of the matrix material	95
6.2.9	Uniaxial compressive strength of the matrix material	96
6.2.10	Uniaxial compressive strength of the block material	97
6.2.11	Cohesion of the block material	98
6.2.12	Tensile strength	98
6.2.13	Dilation angle	100
6.2.14	Poisson's ratio	100
6.2.15	Density	100
6.2.16	Young's modulus	100
6.2.17	Interface properties	101

6.3	Evaluation approach	103
6.3.1	Angular deviation of in-plane tunnel displacement vectors	105
6.3.2	Total in-plane tunnel displacements	107
6.3.3	Shear strain increment along tunnel periphery	108
6.3.4	Maximum in-plane block-matrix interface slip, and other interface related variables	109
6.3.5	Block bending	109
6.3.6	Horizontal evaluation plane	110
6.3.7	Path of highest secondary in-plane major principal stresses	110
6.3.8	Parameter development with ongoing relaxation	112
6.3.9	Zone-by-zone comparison of different cases	114
6.3.10	Orientation of stresses along block periphery	115
6.3.11	Spalling limit and damage threshold	118
6.3.12	Work	119
6.4	Results: Summary	121
6.4.1	In-plane block-matrix interface slip	127
6.4.2	Shear strain increment	128
6.4.3	Block deformation	132
6.4.4	Block displacement	133
6.4.5	Path of the highest secondary in-plane major principal stresses	133
6.4.6	Shear strain increment along tunnel periphery	134
6.4.7	Displacement of the tunnel periphery	135
6.4.8	Yielded zones	138
6.4.9	Block failure	139
6.4.10	In-plane stresses	141
6.4.11	Orientation of in-plane stresses	144
6.4.12	Elastic work	146
6.5	Interpretation and discussion	149
6.5.1	The block-matrix interface rules	149
6.5.2	The block requires strength	150
6.5.3	Small block distance: hazardous	151
6.5.4	Identification on site? It depends	153
6.5.5	Underestimation of the situation	157
6.5.6	About installing support	157
6.5.7	On dynamic effects	158
6.5.8	Most probable scenario	158
7	Stiff block next to excavation (3D): Supplementary study	159
7.1	Numerical model setup	159
7.1.1	Modelling of system features	159
7.1.2	Mesh	160
7.1.3	Model size	160
7.1.4	Boundary conditions and initial state	160
7.1.5	Construction sequence and excavation method	161
7.2	Numerical input parameters	161
7.2.1	Block shape	161
7.2.2	Block location	161

7.2.3	Block distance from the tunnel	161
7.3	Evaluation approach	161
7.4	Results	162
7.5	Interpretation and discussion	167
8	Fibre optic monitoring section: Data evaluation	169
8.1	Distributed fibre optic sensing	169
8.2	Geological and hydrogeological conditions	170
8.3	Rock mass types	172
8.4	Primary stress state	174
8.4.1	General	174
8.4.2	Primary stress at the analysed section	174
8.5	Tunnelling method	175
8.5.1	Excavation sequence	176
8.5.2	Support	176
8.5.3	Work steps	176
8.6	Position of monitoring devices	180
8.7	Observed system behaviour: Geodetic measurements	181
8.7.1	Time-dependent displacements	183
8.7.2	Out-of-plane displacements	183
8.7.3	In-plane displacements	185
8.8	Observed system behaviour: DFOS	186
8.8.1	Strain in the circumferential and longitudinal direction	187
8.8.2	Evolution of strain with time	190
8.8.3	Strain rate	194
8.9	Observed system behaviour: Temperature	196
9	Fibre optic monitoring section: Calibration case (3D)	201
9.1	Limitations	202
9.1.1	Time-dependent rock deformation	202
9.1.2	Swelling	202
9.1.3	Porewater pressure	202
9.2	DFOS section: Strain components utilising a micromechanical model	203
9.2.1	Neglecting thermal strain	204
9.2.2	Neglecting shrinkage strain	205
9.3	Burgers model	205
9.3.1	Basic rheological models	207
9.3.2	Combined rheological models	207
9.4	Numerical model setup	210
9.4.1	Modelling of system features	212
9.4.2	Modelling of material behaviour	213
9.4.3	Mesh	214
9.4.4	Model size	215
9.4.5	Boundary conditions and initial state	215
9.4.6	Solve criterion and damping	215
9.4.7	Construction sequence	216
9.4.8	Creep time step	218

9.5	Numerical input parameters	220
9.5.1	Tunnel shape and size	220
9.5.2	Primary stress state	220
9.5.3	Rock mass	220
9.5.4	Backfill	225
9.5.5	Shotcrete lining	225
9.5.6	Rock bolts	233
9.6	Evaluation approach	236
9.7	Results	236
9.8	Interpretation and discussion	240
10	Stiff block next to excavation (3D): Validation case	243
10.1	Limitations	244
10.2	Geological and hydrogeological conditions	244
10.3	Rock mass types	246
10.4	Primary stress state	247
10.4.1	General	247
10.4.2	Primary stress at the analysed section	247
10.5	Tunnelling method	248
10.6	Position of monitoring devices	248
10.7	Observed system behaviour: Geodetic measurements	248
10.8	Numerical model setup	256
10.8.1	Modelling of system features	257
10.8.2	Modelling of material behaviour	258
10.8.3	Mesh	258
10.8.4	Model size	258
10.8.5	Boundary conditions and initial state	259
10.8.6	Construction sequence	259
10.9	Numerical input parameters	260
10.9.1	Tunnel shape and size	260
10.9.2	Primary stress state	260
10.9.3	Rock mass	261
10.9.4	Shotcrete lining	267
10.9.5	Rock bolts	268
10.10	Evaluation approach	268
10.11	Results	269
10.12	Interpretation and discussion	271
11	Discussion	277
11.1	Primary stress	277
11.2	Block distance and size	278
11.3	Block stiffness	279
11.4	Block failure	279
11.5	Hazardous ground behaviour	281
11.6	At site actions	281
11.6.1	Displacement monitoring	281
11.6.2	Tunnel support	283

11.6.3 Tunnelling sequence	284
12 Conclusion	285
Bibliography	287
Appendix A: Equations	317
A.1 Stress invariants	317
A.2 Strain invariants	317
A.3 Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion	318
A.4 Size of the yield zone in a homogeneous, isotropic rock mass	318
A.5 Elastic secondary tangential in-plane stresses around a circular opening in a homogenous, isotropic medium	319
A.6 Elastic secondary tangential in-plane stresses around an elliptic opening in a homogenous, isotropic medium	320
Appendix B: Some mechanical properties of rocks	321
B.1 Tensile strength	321
B.1.1 Johnston (1985)	321
B.1.2 Kluckner (2012)	322
B.1.3 Rostami et al. (2016)	324
B.2 Dilation angle	325
B.2.1 Terminology	325
B.2.2 Kluckner (2012)	325
B.3 Poisson's ratio	326
B.4 Young's modulus	326
Appendix C: Stiff block next to excavation (2D): Parametric study	329
C.1 Numerical model setup	329
C.1.1 Evaluation of constitutive model for matrix material	329
C.1.2 Evaluation of minimum in-plane model size	337
C.1.3 Evaluation of solve limit	337
C.1.4 Evaluation of excavation method	342
C.2 Numerical input parameters	343
C.2.1 Mechanical properties of model features	343
C.2.2 Evaluation of interface stiffnesses	350
C.3 Results: Details	355
C.3.1 In-plane block-matrix interface slip	355
C.3.2 Shear strain increment	370
C.3.3 Block deformation: Bending	385
C.3.4 Block deformation: Change in the block height	391
C.3.5 Block deformation: Change in the block width	393
C.3.6 Block displacement	396
C.3.7 Path of the largest secondary in-plane major principal stresses	400
C.3.8 Shear strain increment along tunnel periphery	405
C.3.9 Displacement of the tunnel periphery	411
C.3.10 Yielded zones	425
C.3.11 Block failure	438

C.3.12 In-plane stresses	457
C.3.13 Orientation of in-plane stresses	472
C.3.14 Elastic work	485
Appendix D: Fibre optic monitoring section: Data evaluation	497

Chapter 12

Conclusion

The thesis studied many cases where a stiff block is next to the side wall or in front of the tunnel face. Because of the stiffness contrast between the matrix and block material, stresses concentrate at the block surface and introduce interface slip. Not in all cases the resulting interface slip is large. Sometimes, the secondary stresses are favourable and prevent significant slip. However, if interface slip is rather large, shear bands develop from the block towards the tunnel. Typical geometric relations between the orientation of the stresses and the shear bands exist. The extensive analysis of the parametric study results and related descriptions in this thesis allow researchers and engineers who investigate block-in-matrix material and tunnel construction in such material to better understand many phenomena happening close to blocks and the block behaviour.

A brittle fault zone is typically heterogeneous. Next to stiffer blocks and zones, joints, faults, and slickensides usually exist. They all affect the characteristics of the tunnel displacements. The site team must have a very good idea about how the characteristics change depending on the predicted geological conditions ahead. The thesis suggests that a block with a maximum dimension of less than 1D will hardly be detectable by interpreting monitored displacement data. Anyway, the possibility of identifying the block also depends on the setting of the monitoring programme. Preferably, the distance of monitoring cross sections is small, the reading frequency is high, and the initial reading is taken immediately after shotcrete application. If the existence of the block is unknown, it can be everywhere, e.g., next to the side wall, above the crown, or in front of the tunnel face. Because then one does not know which displacement component will give first signs for the block (if at all), it is inevitable to apply several evaluation methods. From this study, analysis of the displacement vector orientation and a comparison of the displacements of tunnel parts (e.g., the left tunnel half vs. the right tunnel half if the block is next to one of the side walls) seem most promising.

An increase or a decrease in the system stiffness can lead to early or late block failure. If the location and the characteristics of the block are unknown, changing the system stiffness (e.g., moment of ring closure) to control the moment of block failure may be pointless. But it is advisable to minimise the unreinforced rock mass volume close to the tunnel face to prevent shear bands from reaching the tunnel and, thus, reducing the probability of a collapse.

Applying the Burgers-Mohr model to simulate the behaviour of the shotcrete material of the tunnel lining worked well. The differences between the tunnel displacements observed at the site and the simulated displacements are acceptable considering that the knowledge about primary stress states and rock mass conditions is limited. The interpretation of the site observations (tunnel displacements and lining strains) in the case studies give the reader valuable information

on typical system behaviours at conventional deep tunnel drives. Observation and interpretation during tunnelling but also afterwards becomes more important when projects aim for minimisation of using resources (e.g., minimisation of unfavourable events such as the requirement to reshape and repair, as well as the reduction of collapses and associated remedial works) and the extension of the construction's operating time. And even if data analysis is done more and more automatic in the future, its success still will depend on the qualification of the geotechnical engineer.

Future works may

- perform numerical studies considering a block height of over 1D;
- perform numerical studies varying the tunnel shape or the block shape; the tunnel shape determines the orientation of the secondary stresses around the tunnel; the block shape determines the initial orientation of shear bands developing from the block towards the tunnel; the orientation of the stresses relative to the block surface determines the shear strength mobilisation and the interface slip;
- perform numerical studies including weak geological features next to the block like faults or slickensides; this will show how much the stresses in the block will further increase;
- perform numerical studies varying, e.g., the block distance or the block height whereas matrix and block material properties remain unchanged; a database of numerical results could be established being a future reference for comparisons with situations in actual cases; the database may also serve for Artificial Intelligence-driven analyses;
- perform numerical studies including two or more blocks next to each other; this will show the rate at which the stress increase in the blocks decreases; relevant literature are, e.g., the works by [227, 306];
- perform analytical analyses determining the minimum force required to push the mass between the tunnel and the block along the shear bands towards the tunnel; the ratio of the force to the accumulated compressive stresses in the block approximates the possibility of the block triggering large-scale mass movement if it fails;
- investigate the possibility of identifying a stiff block by analysing drilling data; it may be also possible to determine the block size in the direction of the drilling;
- investigate the possibility of identifying a stiff block and its dimension with geophysical investigations in the tunnel; according to [96]¹, this mainly depends on the contrast in the density and the wave velocity between the matrix material and the block, on the block size and the wave length, and on the block distance.

¹He currently is the Business Unit Manager of the Geophysics department at Amberg Technologies AG.